### JAMA | Original Investigation

# Associations of Dietary Cholesterol or Egg Consumption With Incident Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality

Victor W. Zhong, PhD; Linda Van Horn, PhD; Marilyn C. Cornelis, PhD; John T. Wilkins, MD, MS; Hongyan Ning, MD, MS; Mercedes R. Carnethon, PhD; Philip Greenland, MD; Robert J. Mentz, MD; Katherine L. Tucker, PhD; Lihui Zhao, PhD; Arnita F. Norwood, PhD; Donald M. Lloyd-Jones, MD, ScM; Norrina B. Allen, PhD

**IMPORTANCE** Cholesterol is a common nutrient in the human diet and eggs are a major source of dietary cholesterol. Whether dietary cholesterol or egg consumption is associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality remains controversial.

**OBJECTIVE** To determine the associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** Individual participant data were pooled from 6 prospective US cohorts using data collected between March 25, 1985, and August 31, 2016. Self-reported diet data were harmonized using a standardized protocol.

**EXPOSURES** Dietary cholesterol (mg/day) or egg consumption (number/day).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Hazard ratio (HR) and absolute risk difference (ARD) over the entire follow-up for incident CVD (composite of fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and other CVD deaths) and all-cause mortality, adjusting for demographic, socioeconomic, and behavioral factors.

**RESULTS** This analysis included 29 615 participants (mean [SD] age, 51.6 [13.5] years at baseline) of whom 13 299 (44.9%) were men and 9204 (31.1%) were black. During a median follow-up of 17.5 years (interguartile range, 13.0-21.7; maximum, 31.3), there were 5400 incident CVD events and 6132 all-cause deaths. The associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality were monotonic (all P values for nonlinear terms, .19-.83). Each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.17 [95% CI, 1.09-1.26]; adjusted ARD, 3.24% [95% CI, 1.39%-5.08%]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.18 [95% CI, 1.10-1.26]; adjusted ARD, 4.43% [95% CI, 2.51%-6.36%]). Each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.06 [95% CI, 1.03-1.10]; adjusted ARD, 1.11% [95% CI, 0.32%-1.89%]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.08 [95% CI, 1.04-1.11]; adjusted ARD, 1.93% [95% CI, 1.10%-2.76%]). The associations between egg consumption and incident CVD (adjusted HR, 0.99 [95% CI, 0.93-1.05]; adjusted ARD, -0.47% [95% CI, -1.83% to 0.88%]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.97-1.09]; adjusted ARD, 0.71% [95% CI, -0.85% to 2.28%]) were no longer significant after adjusting for dietary cholesterol consumption.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** Among US adults, higher consumption of dietary cholesterol or eggs was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD and all-cause mortality in a dose-response manner. These results should be considered in the development of dietary guidelines and updates.

Editorial page 1055
 Supplemental content

CME Quiz at jamanetwork.com/learning and CME Questions page 1102

Author Affiliations: Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois (Zhong, Van Horn, Cornelis, Wilkins, Ning, Carnethon, Greenland, Zhao, Lloyd-Jones, Allen); Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina (Mentz); Duke Clinical Research Institute. Durham. North Carolina (Mentz); Department of Biomedical and Nutritional Sciences, University of Massachusetts Lowell (Tucker): Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center. Jackson (Norwood).

Corresponding Authors: Victor W. Zhong, PhD (wenze.zhong@ northwestern.edu), and Norrina B. Allen, PhD (norrina-allen@ northwestern.edu), Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, 680 N Lake Shore Dr, Ste 1400, Chicago, IL 60611.

JAMA. 2019;321(11):1081-1095. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.1572

he associations between dietary cholesterol consumption and cardiovascular disease (CVD) and mortality remain controversial despite decades of research.<sup>1</sup> The debate has intensified recently due to the inclusion of 2 seemingly contradictory statements in the 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans<sup>2,3</sup>: (1) "Cholesterol is not a nutrient of concern for overconsumption"; and (2) "Individuals should eat as little dietary cholesterol as possible while consuming a healthy eating pattern." The most recent meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies could not draw meaningful conclusions about the association between dietary cholesterol consumption and CVD, primarily due to sparse data, between-study heterogeneity, and lack of methodologic rigor of the reviewed studies.<sup>1</sup>

Cholesterol, saturated fat, and animal protein often coexist in foods.<sup>2</sup> The interaction and independence between dietary cholesterol and these nutrients in relation to CVD and mortality remain uncertain. Further, it is unclear whether eating an overall high-quality diet attenuates the associations of dietary cholesterol consumption with CVD and mortality or if the food source of cholesterol (eg, eggs, red meat, poultry, fish, and dairy products) is important. Eggs, specially the yolk, are a major source of dietary cholesterol; a large egg ( $\approx$ 50 g) contains approximately 186 mg of cholesterol.<sup>4</sup> Reported associations of egg consumption with CVD and mortality have been inconsistent overall and by subtypes of these events.<sup>5-10</sup>

Individual participant data were pooled from 6 cohorts from the Lifetime Risk Pooling Project to address the aforementioned gaps.<sup>11</sup> The primary objective was to determine the associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality.

# Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board at Northwestern University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for initial data collection in each original cohort. For this analysis of deidentified data, specific consent was not required.

### **Study Sample**

The Lifetime Risk Pooling Project included 20 communitybased prospective cohorts of US participants primarily for the study of long-term risks and development patterns of CVD over the life course in adults.<sup>11</sup> Six cohorts that each assessed usual dietary intake and had information on key study variables (see following Methods subsections) were included in this analysis. The cohorts were the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study,<sup>12</sup> Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study,<sup>13</sup> Framingham Heart Study (FHS),<sup>14</sup> Framingham Offspring Study (FOS),<sup>15</sup> Jackson Heart Study (JHS),<sup>16</sup> and the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).<sup>17</sup> Participants were excluded if they had CVD at baseline, self-reported energy intake less than 500 kcal per day or greater than 6000 kcal per day, or had missing data for any of the study variables.

### **Key Points**

**Question** Is consuming dietary cholesterol or eggs associated with incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) and all-cause mortality?

**Findings** Among 29 615 adults pooled from 6 prospective cohort studies in the United States with a median follow-up of 17.5 years, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.17; adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 3.24%) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.18; adjusted ARD, 4.43%), and each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.06; adjusted ARD, 1.11%) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.08; adjusted ARD, 1.93%).

Meaning Among US adults, higher consumption of dietary cholesterol or eggs was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD and all-cause mortality in a dose-response manner.

#### **Diet Data Assessment**

Using a standardized protocol and data dictionary, diet data were harmonized cohort by cohort. Briefly, consumption frequencies were converted into estimated number per day using the middle value (eg, 3-4 times per week = 0.5 times per day). One serving unit was standardized across cohorts. Food groups were constructed using the same definitions. Ingredients in mixed dishes were considered and the appropriate portions were determined for each cohort. The first available diet data collection visit was defined as baseline ranging between 1985 in CARDIA and 2005 in JHS. Only baseline measures were included for this study. Additional details are provided in the eAppendix in the Supplement.

#### **Outcome Ascertainment**

The primary outcomes were incident CVD and all-cause mortality. Incident CVD was a composite end point of fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke, heart failure, and CVD death from other causes. Secondary outcomes were CHD, stroke, heart failure, CVD mortality, and non-CVD mortality. The included cohorts used similar ascertainment and adjudication criteria for CVD and mortality events. Vital status was known for 98% of the participants. The adjudication criteria included *International Classification of Diseases* codes (versions 8, 9, or 10); diagnostic procedures; and review of medical records, autopsy data, or both. Methods for adjudication have been described in ARIC, <sup>12</sup> CARDIA, <sup>18</sup> FHS, <sup>19</sup> FOS, <sup>19</sup> JHS, <sup>20</sup> and MESA, <sup>17</sup> as well as in the Lifetime Risk Pooling Project.<sup>11,21-23</sup> The most recent follow-up ended on August 31, 2016.

#### **Covariate Assessment**

Standardized questionnaires and laboratory protocols were used to collect information on the following variables: age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, lifestyle factors (including smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activity), body mass index (BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), blood pressure, lipid profile, medication use, and medical conditions. These details have been described elsewhere for each cohort.<sup>11-17</sup> The earliest baseline date was March 25, 1985. Race/ethnicity was self-reported and dichotomized

as black and nonblack for subgroup analysis (race/ethnicity breakdown of participants: white [62.1%], black [31.1%], Hispanic [4.4%], Chinese [2.4%]).

## **Statistical Analysis**

Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models were used to determine the associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD, CVD subtypes, and causespecific mortality. Cause-specific hazard models take into consideration competing risks by removing individuals who develop a competing event from the risk set; this technique has been recommended for studying the etiology of an association in the presence of competing risks.<sup>24,25</sup> Cohortstratified standard proportional hazard models were used for analyzing all-cause mortality outcome. Proportional hazards assumption was tested using the Kolmogorov-type supremum test.<sup>26</sup> There was no evidence of violation of the proportionality assumption (P value > .05) except for the association between egg consumption and mortality, which was corrected by conducting cohort- and sex-stratified analyses. Models were sequentially adjusted for age, sex, race/ ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school, some college or more) (model 1); plus total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking packyears (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, 10-19.9, 20-29.9, 30-39.9, ≥40), cohort-specific physical activity *z* score, alcohol intake (gram), use of hormone therapy (y/n) (model 2); plus BMI, diabetes status (y/n), systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medications (y/n), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and use of lipid-lowering medications (y/n) (model 3). Model 2 was the primary model because model 3 risk factors may be in the causal pathway. To increase the applicability of the study results to the contemporary US population, the interpretation was based on consuming each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol per day or half an egg per day (equivalent to consumption of 1 egg 3-4 times per week or total consumption of 3-4 eggs per week) because mean dietary cholesterol consumption in the United States was 293 mg per day<sup>27</sup> and mean egg consumption was 25.5 g per day<sup>28</sup> based on data from recent National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. One large egg weighs approximately 50 g.<sup>4</sup> Estimates were also provided based on other units: each 50-mg per day dietary cholesterol increment up to 600 mg per day and each 1-egg per week increment up to 2 eggs per day. To test for statistically significant departure from a linear association, polynomial terms were added to model 2. If model fit was significantly improved, exposures were converted into 5-category variables. Otherwise, dietary exposures were included as single linear terms on each exposure's original measurement scale. The distributions of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption were winsorized at the 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles before modeling.

To evaluate the associations of dietary cholesterol consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality, nutrients correlated with dietary cholesterol (saturated fat, unsaturated fat, trans fat, animal protein, fiber, and sodium) were adjusted individually or in combination, in addition to model 2 covariates. To determine if certain cholesterol-containing foods were major determinants for the associations, eggs, processed meat, unprocessed red meat, fish, poultry, and total dairy products were adjusted individually or in combination. For the associations of egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality, dietary cholesterol consumption was further adjusted.

To further evaluate whether consuming dietary cholesterol or eggs within different dietary patterns altered the associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality, major food groups were adjusted in addition to model 2 covariates, either individually or incorporated into 3 scores: the alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010 (aHEI-2010) score,<sup>29</sup> alternate Mediterranean diet score,<sup>30</sup> and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension score.<sup>31</sup> Cholesterol-containing foods such as meat and fish were removed from calculating these scores. All aforementioned analyses were performed for incident CVD overall, as well as separately by event-type (CHD, stroke, heart failure), and for all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, and non-CVD mortality.

Subgroup analyses were performed for incident CVD and all-cause mortality outcomes by the following variables: age (<65, ≥65 years), sex (men, women), race/ethnicity (black, non-black), smoking status (never, former, current), weight status (normal/underweight [BMI <25], overweight [BMI  $\geq$ 25-<30], obese [BMI  $\geq$ 30]), diabetes (y/n; defined as having fasting glucose  $\geq$ 126 mg/dL or HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 6.5% or taking glucoselowering medications), hypertension (y/n; defined as  $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medications), hyperlipidemia (y/n; defined as having total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL or taking lipid-lowering medications), low lipids (y/n; defined as not taking lipid-lowering medications and having low-density lipoprotein [LDL] cholesterol <70 mg/dL or non-HDL cholesterol <100 mg/dL), higher-quality diet (y/n; defined as consuming a diet with aHEI-2010 score in the highest quartile [≥51.5; score range, 0-100]), high-saturated fat diet (y/n; defined as percent of energy consumed from saturated fat in the highest quartile  $[\geq 13.8\%]$ ), and lowsaturated fat diet (y/n; defined as percent of energy consumed from saturated fat <7%). The joint test was used to obtain a P value for interaction for examining statistical significance of the difference between subgroups.<sup>32</sup>

An absolute risk difference (ARD) was calculated for each hazard ratio (HR) derived from the previously described models. Specifically, we used the mean of the included covariates and calculated the difference in absolute risk at the maximum follow-up time given each additional 300 mg dietary cholesterol consumed per day or 0.5 egg consumed per day. Three R packages were used: riskRegression,<sup>33</sup> survival,<sup>34</sup> and pec.<sup>35</sup> The bootstrap method was applied to derive 95% CIs for ARD based on 100 bootstrap samples.

Six sensitivity analyses were conducted for primary outcomes: (1) events ascertained during the first 2 and 5 years of follow-up were excluded; (2) participants were arbitrarily censored at 10- and 20-year follow-up; (3) multiple imputation by chained equations was applied to impute missing data for study variables<sup>36</sup> and events were censored at loss to follow-up; (4) any 1 of the 6 cohorts was dropped out of the analyses; (5) cohort-specific quintiles or convenient categorical

cutoffs for dietary cholesterol or egg consumption were used; and (6) subdistribution hazard models were used instead of cause-specific hazard models.<sup>24,25</sup> A 2-tailed *P* value of less than .05 was used to determine the statistical significance. Because of the potential for type I error due to multiple comparisons, findings for analyses of secondary outcomes should be interpreted as exploratory. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 and R version 3.5.2.

# Results

This study included 29 615 participants with 524 376 personyears of follow-up data (Table). Mean (SD) age was 51.6 (13.5) years at baseline, 9204 (31.1%) were black, 13 299 (44.9%) were men. The 6 cohorts differed considerably in terms of sample size, age, sex, race/ethnicity, education level, BMI, and behavioral and clinical CVD risk factors, as well as incident CVD and all-cause mortality rates. Overall median dietary cholesterol consumption was 241 mg per day (interquartile range [IQR], 164-350) and the overall mean (SD) was 285 (184) mg per day. Overall median egg consumption was 0.14 per day (IQR, 0.07-0.43) and the overall mean (SD) was 0.34 (0.46) per day. Participants' characteristics, according to 5 levels of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption are shown in eTables 1 and 2 in the Supplement. The unadjusted incidence per 1000 personyears was 10.9 (95% CI, 10.6-11.2) for incident CVD and 11.7 (95% CI, 11.4-12.0) for all-cause mortality (eTable3 in the Supplement). The energy-adjusted Pearson correlations between dietary cholesterol or egg consumption and a range of dietary factors are shown in eTable 4 in the Supplement.

#### Primary Outcomes: Incident CVD and All-Cause Mortality

During a median follow-up of 17.5 years (IQR, 13.0-21.7; maximum 31.3), there were a total of 5400 incident CVD events (2088 fatal and nonfatal CHD events, 1302 fatal and nonfatal stroke events, 1897 fatal and nonfatal heart failure events, and 113 other CVD deaths) and 6132 all-cause deaths. The associations between dietary cholesterol consumption and incident CVD and all-cause mortality were monotonic (P value >.1 for quadratic cholesterol term; Figure 1). Based on model 2, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.17 [95% CI, 1.09-1.26]; adjusted ARD, 3.24% [95% CI, 1.39%-5.08%]; Figure 2A) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.18 [95% CI, 1.10-1.26]; adjusted ARD, 4.43% [95% CI, 2.51%-6.36%]; Figure 2B). These associations remained significant after further adjusting for CVD risk factors (eg, BMI, diabetes, blood pressure, and serum lipids), dietary fats, animal protein, fiber, sodium, cholesterol-containing foods, or dietary patterns with 2 exceptions: (1) the associations between dietary cholesterol consumption and incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.13 [95% CI, 0.97-1.31]; adjusted ARD, 2.79% [95% CI, -0.76% to 6.34%]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.05 [95% CI, 0.92-1.21]; adjusted ARD, 1.25% [95% CI, -2.65% to 5.15%]) were no longer significant after adjusting for consumption of eggs, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat; and (2) the association between dietary cholesterol consumption and allcause mortality was no longer significant after adjusting for egg consumption (adjusted HR, 1.13 [95% CI, 0.99-1.27]; adjusted ARD, 3.05% [95% CI, -0.59% to 6.70%]).

The associations between egg consumption and incident CVD and all-cause mortality were monotonic (P value > .3 for quadratic egg term; Figure 3). Based on model 2, each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.06 [95% CI, 1.03-1.10]; adjusted ARD, 1.11% [95% CI, 0.32%-1.89%]; Figure 4A) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.08 [95% CI, 1.04-1.11]; adjusted ARD, 1.93% [95% CI, 1.10%-2.76%]; Figure 4B). These associations remained significant after accounting for CVD risk factors, dietary fats, animal protein, fiber, sodium, or dietary patterns. However, the associations between egg consumption and incident CVD (adjusted HR, 0.99 [95% CI, 0.93-1.05]; adjusted ARD, -0.47% [95% CI, -1.83% to 0.88%]) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.97-1.09]; adjusted ARD, 0.71% [95% CI, -0.85% to 2.28%]) were no longer significant after adjusting for dietary cholesterol consumption.

The adjusted HRs (95% CIs), calculated using other increment units for dietary cholesterol or egg consumption based on model 2, are shown in eTable 5 and eTable 6 in the Supplement.

#### Subgroup Analyses

The association between dietary cholesterol consumption (per 300 mg/day) and incident CVD was stronger in participants with BMI lower than 25 (adjusted HR, 1.25 [95% CI, 1.12-1.39]) than in overweight participants (BMI ≥25 to <30; adjusted HR, 1.05 [95% CI, 0.95-1.16]) or obese participants (BMI ≥30; adjusted HR, 1.14 [95% CI, 1.03-1.26]) (P value for interaction, .03) (Figure 5) and stronger in participants without low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 1.19 [95% CI, 1.11-1.28]) than in participants with low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 0.96 [95% CI, 0.78-1.19]) (P value for interaction, .04). The association between dietary cholesterol consumption and all-cause mortality was stronger in women (adjusted HR, 1.28 [95% CI, 1.17-1.41]) than in men (adjusted HR, 1.14 [95% CI, 1.06-1.22]) (P value for interaction, .02) (Figure 6) and stronger in participants who consumed a high-saturated fat diet (adjusted HR, 1.24 [95% CI, 1.13-1.36]) than in participants who did not (adjusted HR, 1.12 [95% CI, 1.04-1.21]) (P value for interaction, .046).

The association between egg consumption (per half an egg/day) and incident CVD was stronger in women (adjusted HR, 1.13 [95% CI, 1.07-1.20]) than in men (adjusted HR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.99-1.08]) (*P* value for interaction, .009; **Figure 7**) and stronger in participants without low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 1.07 [95% CI, 1.04-1.11]) than in participants with low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 0.93 [95% CI, 0.81-1.07]) (*P* value for interaction, .04). The association between egg consumption and all-cause mortality was stronger in women (adjusted HR, 1.16 [95% CI, 1.10-1.23]) than in men (adjusted HR, 1.04 [95% CI, 1.01-1.08]) (*P* value for interaction, .001) (**Figure 8**) and stronger in participants without low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 1.09 [95% CI, 1.05-1.12] than in participants with low lipid levels (adjusted HR, 0.95 [95% CI, 0.86-1.05]) (*P* value for interaction, .007).

Table. Characteristics of th	Fable. Characteristics of the 6 Cohorts Included in This Study								
	ARIC <sup>12</sup> (n = 13710)	CARDIA <sup>13</sup> (n = 4232)	FHS <sup>14</sup> (n = 509)	FOS <sup>15</sup> (n = 2953)	JHS <sup>16</sup> (n = 2313) <sup>a</sup>	MESA <sup>17</sup> (n = 5898)			
Age, mean (SD), y	54.3 (5.8)	25.7 (3.1)	73.4 (3.0)	54.2 (9.6)	49.3 (10.6)	61.4 (9.6)			
Sex, No. (%)									
Men	6255 (45.6)	1847 (43.6)	174 (34.2)	1342 (45.4)	873 (37.7)	2808 (47.6)			
Women	7455 (54.4)	2385 (56.4)	335 (65.8)	1611 (54.6)	1440 (62.3)	3090 (52.4)			
Race/ethnicity, No. (%)									
White	10 415 (76.0)	2202 (52.0)	509 (100)	2953 (100)	0	2325 (39.4)			
Black	3295 (24.0)	2030 (48.0)	0	0	2313 (100)	1566 (26.6)			
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	0	1310 (22.2)			
Chinese	0	0	0	0	0	697 (11.8)			
Education level, No. (%)									
<high school<="" td=""><td>3172 (23.1)</td><td>314 (7.4)</td><td>136 (26.7)</td><td>318 (10.8)</td><td>259 (11.2)</td><td>1047 (17.8)</td></high>	3172 (23.1)	314 (7.4)	136 (26.7)	318 (10.8)	259 (11.2)	1047 (17.8)			
High school	4480 (32.7)	1107 (26.2)	199 (39.1)	967 (32.7)	387 (16.7)	1025 (17.4)			
≥Some college	6058 (44.2)	2811 (66.4)	174 (34.2)	1668 (56.5)	1667 (72.1)	3826 (64.9)			
Smoking status, No. (%)									
Nonsmoker	5624 (41.0)	2352 (55.6)	457 (89.8)	2385 (80.8)	1673 (72.3)	2952 (50.1)			
Current smoker	3601 (26.3)	1263 (29.8)	52 (10.2)	568 (19.2)	275 (11.9)	771 (13.1)			
Former smoker	4485 (32.7)	617 (14.6)	0	0	365 (15.8)	2175 (36.9)			
BMI, mean (SD) <sup>b</sup>	27.7 (5.3)	24.6 (5.1)	26.6 (4.7)	27.3 (4.8)	31.9 (7.3)	28.3 (5.4)			
SBP, mean (SD), mm Hg	121.1 (18.7)	110.4 (10.9)	146 (20.6)	125.0 (18.1)	124.4 (15.3)	125.9 (20.9)			
HDL-C, mean (SD), mg/dL	51.4 (17.0)	53.2 (13.3)	50.2 (16.6)	50.4 (15.0)	50.5 (13.6)	51.0 (14.9)			
Non-HDL-C, mean (SD), mg/dL	163.9 (44.0)	124.8 (34.0)	169.3 (39.8)	154.4 (38.2)	146.6 (39.2)	143.4 (35.5)			
Diabetes, No. (%)	1484 (10.8)	33 (0.8)	49 (9.6)	178 (6.0)	254 (11.0)	729 (12.4)			
Antihypertensive medication use, No (%)	4246 (31.0)	106 (2.5)	219 (43.0)	502 (17.0)	933 (40.3)	2140 (36.3)			
Lipid-lowering medication use, No. (%)	411 (3.0)	0	30 (5.9)	182 (6.2)	169 (7.3)	948 (16.1)			
Hormone therapy use, No. (%)	1385 (10.1)	104 (2.5)	25 (4.9)	304 (10.3)	324 (14.0)	913 (15.5)			
Total energy, median (IQR), kcal/d	1534 (1189-1960)	2460 (1802-3348)	1676 (1299-2047)	1786 (1413-2233)	1999 (1446-2736)	1515 (1095-2065)			
Eggs, median (IQR), No./d <sup>c</sup>	0.14 (0.07-0.43)	0.42 (0.17-0.87)	0.14 (0.07-0.43)	0.14 (0.07-0.43)	0.32 (0.09-0.65)	0.14 (0.04-0.29)			
Dietary cholesterol, median (IQR), mg/d <sup>d</sup>	227 (162-312)	384 (259-567)	221 (152-308)	209 (153-280)	306 (196-473)	209 (133-326)			
Alcohol, median (IQR), g/d	0 (0-6.2)	4.7 (0.6-13.8)	1.2 (0-13.2)	3.2 (0-13.2)	0.1 (0-1.7)	0.5 (0.1-5.3)			
aHEI-2010 score, mean (SD) <sup>e</sup>	40.6 (8.7)	43.4 (11.1)	50.9 (9.6)	45.8 (9.4)	51.3 (10.3)	51.0 (9.8)			
Incident CVD <sup>f</sup>									
No. of events	3798	212	230	361	118	681			
Follow-up, y	236 084	121 092	5831	40 605	22 144	71562			
Rate/1000 person-y	16.1	1.8	39.4	8.9	5.3	9.5			
All-cause mortality									
No. of events	4089	321	377	368	93	884			
Follow-up, y	255 894	122 424	6777	42 405	22 562	74314			
Rate/1000 person-y	16.0	2.6	55.6	8.7	4.1	11.9			

Abbreviations: aHEI, alternate Healthy Eating Index; ARIC, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities; BMI, body mass index; CARDIA, Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FHS, Framingham Heart Study; FOS, Framingham Offspring Study; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; IQR, interquartile range; JHS, Jackson Heart Study; MESA, Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

<sup>a</sup> There were 1570 participants from the JHS who were excluded because they also participated in the ARIC study.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

 $^{\rm c}$  The overall median number of eggs consumed per day was 0.14 (IQR, 0.07-0.43), and the mean (SD) was 0.34 (0.46).

<sup>d</sup> The overall median of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was 241 mg (IQR, 164-350), and the mean (SD) was 285 (184).

<sup>e</sup> Unprocessed red meat and processed meat were excluded from the calculation because they are cholesterol-containing foods. The original version of the aHEI-2010 score has a range of 0 to 110 points. The aHEI-2010 score in this study had a range of 0 to 100 points due to the removal of the meat item. A higher score indicates higher diet quality. There are currently no established cutoffs for defining high or low diet quality based on this score. A score of approximately 40 to 50 was considered as poor diet quality according to a recent investigation using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>f</sup> Incident CVD events included fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and other CVD deaths.



600

Dietary Cholesterol Consumed per Day, mg

800

### Figure 1. Associations Between Dietary Cholesterol Consumption and Incident CVD and All-Cause Mortality

# Secondary Outcomes: CVD Subtypes and Cause-Specific Mortality

200

The associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with CVD subtypes and cause-specific mortality were monotonic (*P* value for quadratic terms >0.1).

400

#### **CVD** Subtypes

Dietary cholesterol (per 300 mg/day; adjusted HR, 1.10 [95% CI, 0.98-1.23]) or egg consumption (per half an egg/day; adjusted HR, 1.05 [95% CI, 0.99-1.11]) was not significantly associated with CHD in model 2 (eFigure 1 and eFigure 6 in the Supplement). Dietary cholesterol consumption was significantly associated with stroke (adjusted HR, 1.26  $\left[95\%\,\text{CI}, 1.09\right]$ 1.46]; eFigure 2 in the Supplement). This association was no longer significant after adjusting for egg consumption alone (adjusted HR, 1.19 [95% CI, 0.91-1.57]) or consumption of eggs, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat together (adjusted HR, 1.02 [95% CI, 0.75-1.38]). Dietary cholesterol consumption was significantly associated with heart failure (adjusted HR, 1.14 [95% CI, 1.05-1.24]; eFigure 3 in the Supplement). This association was no longer significant after adjusting for CVD risk factors (adjusted HR, 1.11 [95% CI, 0.98-1.27]) or animal protein consumption (adjusted HR, 1.06 [95% CI, 0.91-1.23]). Egg consumption was significantly associated with stroke

There were 5400 incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) events and 6132 all-cause deaths (N=29 615 participants). Incident CVD included fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and other CVD deaths. Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models for incident CVD and standard proportional hazard models for all-cause mortality were applied and included dietary cholesterol, dietary cholesterol squared, age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school,  $\geq$  some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0,1-4,9, 5,0-9,9, 10-19,9, 20-29,9,  $30-39.9, \geq 40$ ), cohort-specific physical activity z score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n). The dashed line indicates the cutoff for the 95th percentile of consumption (640 mg/d). The distribution of dietary cholesterol was winsorized at the 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles. For quadratic cholesterol consumption term for incident CVD, P value = .19, and for quadratic cholesterol consumption term for all-cause mortality, P value = .83. The hazard ratio (HR [95% CI]) is indicated by the blue line and blue shading.

(adjusted HR, 1.11 [95% CI, 1.03-1.18]; eFigure 7 in the Supplement), but not heart failure (adjusted HR, 1.06 [95% CI, 0.996-1.12]; eFigure 8 in the Supplement). The association between egg consumption and stroke was no longer significant after adjusting for dietary cholesterol consumption (adjusted HR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.91-1.17]).

#### **Cause-Specific Mortality**

1000

Dietary cholesterol consumption was significantly associated with CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.22 [95% CI, 1.07-1.39]; eFigure 4 in the Supplement). This association was no longer significant after adjusting for animal protein consumption (adjusted HR, 1.07 [95% CI, 0.91-1.25]), egg consumption (adjusted HR, 1.23 [95% CI, 0.95-1.59]), or consumption of eggs, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat (adjusted HR, 1.13 [95% CI, 0.85-1.50]). Dietary cholesterol consumption was significantly associated with non-CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.16 [95% CI, 1.08-1.26]; eFigure 5 in the Supplement). This association was no longer significant after adjusting for egg consumption (adjusted HR, 1.10 [95% CI, 0.95-1.26]) or consumption of eggs, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat (adjusted HR, 1.03 [95% CI, 0.88-1.21]). Egg consumption was significantly associated with CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.08 [95% CI, 1.01-1.15]; eFigure 9 in the

# Figure 2. Associations Between Each Additional 300 mg of Dietary Cholesterol Consumed per Day and Incident CVD and All-Cause Mortality

#### A Dietary cholesterol consumed per day and incident CVD

	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk
Model 1 <sup>c</sup>	3.25 (1.95 to 4.54)	1.18 (1.12-1.24)		
Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	3.24 (1.39 to 5.08)	1.17 (1.09-1.26)		<b>i</b>
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	2.60 (1.00 to 4.20)	1.14 (1.06-1.23)		
Model 2 plus nutrients <sup>c</sup>				
Saturated fat	4.00 (2.18 to 5.82)	1.21 (1.12-1.31)		<b>_</b>
Saturated fat, unsaturated fat, trans	fat 3.91 (1.58 to 6.23)	1.20 (1.11-1.31)		— <b>—</b>
Animal protein	1.65 (0.08 to 3.22)	1.10 (1.01-1.20)		
Fiber	3.80 (1.99 to 5.60)	1.20 (1.11-1.29)		
Sodium	2.87 (1.16 to 4.57)	1.15 (1.07-1.24)		<b>_</b>
All previous nutrients	2.58 (0.30 to 4.85)	1.15 (1.04-1.26)		
Model 2 plus cholesterol-containing for	ods <sup>c</sup>			
Eggs	4.20 (0.93 to 7.47)	1.20 (1.05-1.37)		
Unprocessed red meat	2.86 (0.93 to 4.79)	1.15 (1.07-1.24)		<b></b>
Processed meat	3.03 (1.11 to 4.95)	1.16 (1.08-1.25)		<b>_</b>
Poultry	2.99 (1.22 to 4.76)	1.16 (1.08-1.25)		
Fish	3.19 (1.23 to 5.16)	1.17 (1.09-1.26)		<b>i</b>
Total dairy products	3.19 (1.35 to 5.04)	1.17 (1.09-1.26)		
Eggs, total red meat <sup>d</sup>	2.79 (-0.76 to 6.34)	1.13 (0.97-1.31)		
Poultry, fish, total dairy products	2.92 (1.31 to 4.53)	1.16 (1.08-1.25)		
Model 2 plus dietary patterns <sup>c</sup>				
aHEI-2010 score <sup>e</sup>	3.21 (1.28 to 5.14)	1.17 (1.09-1.26)		<b></b>
DASH diet score <sup>e</sup>	3.44 (1.76 to 5.11)	1.18 (1.10-1.27)		<b>_</b>
aMED diet score <sup>e</sup>	3.23 (1.26 to 5.21)	1.17 (1.09-1.26)		
9 Food groups <sup>f</sup>	4.11 (2.40 to 5.83)	1.22 (1.13-1.32)		
Model 2 plus time restrictions <sup>c</sup>				
Excluding events within first 2 y	3.08 (1.06 to 5.11)	1.17 (1.08-1.26)		
Excluding events within first 5 y	2.94 (0.99 to 4.88)	1.17 (1.08-1.27)		
Censoring at 10-y follow-up	0.89 (0.33 to 1.46)	1.20 (1.09-1.33)		
Censoring at 20-y follow-up	2.13 (1.05 to 3.22)	1.18 (1.09-1.27)	-	
		0	.9 1	.0 1.1 1.3

**B** Dietary cholesterol consumed per day and all-cause mortality

	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk
Model 1 <sup>c</sup>	5.30 (3.63 to 6.97)	1.21 (1.15-1.27)		÷
Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	4.43 (2.51 to 6.36)	1.18 (1.10-1.26)		<b>i</b>
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	3.69 (1.82 to 5.56)	1.15 (1.07-1.23)		
Model 2 plus nutrients <sup>c</sup>				
Saturated fat	4.62 (2.58 to 6.66)	1.18 (1.10-1.27)		
Saturated fat, unsaturated fat, trans	fat 4.66 (2.23 to 7.10)	1.19 (1.10-1.28)		
Animal protein	2.78 (0.84 to 4.72)	1.12 (1.04-1.22)		
Fiber	4.71 (2.71 to 6.70)	1.19 (1.11-1.27)		<b></b>
Sodium	4.05 (1.94 to 6.15)	1.16 (1.09-1.24)		
All previous nutrients	3.28 (1.05 to 5.51)	1.15 (1.05-1.25)		<b>_</b>
Model 2 plus cholesterol-containing for	odsc			
Eggs	3.05 (-0.59 to 6.70)	1.13 (0.99-1.27)		
Unprocessed red meat	4.12 (2.31 to 5.93)	1.16 (1.09-1.25)		
Processed meat	3.83 (1.73 to 5.93)	1.15 (1.08-1.23)		
Poultry	4.56 (2.40 to 6.73)	1.18 (1.11-1.26)		
Fish	4.57 (2.70 to 6.45)	1.18 (1.11-1.27)		
Total dairy products	4.34 (2.28 to 6.40)	1.17 (1.10-1.25)		
Eggs, total red meat <sup>d</sup>	1.25 (-2.65 to 5.15)	1.05 (0.92-1.21)		
Poultry, fish, total dairy products	4.55 (2.53 to 6.57)	1.18 (1.11-1.26)		
Model 2 plus dietary patterns <sup>c</sup>				
aHEI-2010 score <sup>e</sup>	4.38 (2.22 to 6.53)	1.18 (1.10-1.26)		<b>i</b>
DASH diet score <sup>e</sup>	4.64 (2.72 to 6.57)	1.19 (1.11-1.27)		
aMED diet score <sup>e</sup>	4.43 (2.62 to 6.24)	1.18 (1.10-1.26)		
9 Food groups <sup>f</sup>	5.08 (2.93 to 7.24)	1.20 (1.12-1.29)		
Model 2 plus time restrictions <sup>c</sup>				
Excluding events within first 2 y	4.20 (1.99 to 6.42)	1.17 (1.09-1.25)		<b>_</b>
Excluding events within first 5 y	3.54 (1.59 to 5.50)	1.16 (1.08-1.25)		<b>_</b>
Censoring at 10-y follow-up	0.76 (0.42 to 1.10)	1.19 (1.08-1.32)		
Censoring at 20-y follow-up	2.44 (1.31 to 3.57)	1.19 (1.11-1.27)		
		0	.9 1	.0 1.1 1.3
				Hazard Ratio (95% CI)

See the Figure 1 footnote for conditions included in the incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) definition. There were 5400 incident CVD events and 6132 all-cause deaths (N=29 615 participants). Mean dietary cholesterol consumption in the United States was 293 mg per day <sup>27</sup> For results interpretation, use model 2 as a reference standard (indicated by dotted line). Each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher relative risk (RR) of incident CVD (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.17 [95% CI, 1.09-1.26]) and a higher absolute risk of incident CVD (adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 3.24% [95% CI.

1.39%-5.08%]) over the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years. Each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher RR of all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.18 [95% CI, 1.10-1.26]) and a higher absolute risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted ARD, 4.43% [95% CI, 2.51%-6.36%]) over the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years.

1.5

Hazard Ratio (95% CI)

- <sup>a</sup> ARD estimation (see Figure 4, footnote "a" for description). The maximum follow-up time of 31.3 years, mean of the included covariates, and a difference of 300 mg per day in dietary cholesterol consumption were used.
- <sup>b</sup> Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models were used for incident CVD. Cohort-stratified standard proportional hazard models were used for all-cause mortality.
- <sup>c</sup> Models: see Statistical Analysis section for descriptions of models 1, 2, and 3.
- <sup>d</sup> Included unprocessed red meat and processed meat (adjusted separately).
- <sup>e</sup> Meat and fish were removed from calculating these dietary scores.
- <sup>f</sup> Fruits, legumes, potatoes, other vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, refined grains, low-fat dairy products, and sugar-sweetened beverages were included. Eggs, meat, and fish were excluded (major sources of dietary cholesterol).
  See Figure 4 footnote for aHEI-2010, aMED, and DASH term expansions.

Supplement) and non-CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.08 [95% CI, 1.04-1.11]; eFigure 10 in the Supplement), but these asso-

ciations were no longer significant after adjusting for dietary cholesterol consumption for CVD mortality (adjusted HR,

1.5



Figure 3. Associations Between Egg Consumption and Incident CVD and All-Cause Mortality

There were 5400 incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) events and 6132 all-cause deaths (N=29 615 participants). See the Figure 1 footnote for conditions included in the incident CVD definition. Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models for incident CVD and standard proportional hazard models for all-cause mortality were applied and included egg consumption, egg consumption squared, age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school,  $\geq$  some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0,1-4,9, 5,0-9,9, 10-19,9, 20-29,9,  $30-39.9, \geq 40$ ), cohort-specific physical activity z score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n). The dashed line indicates the cutoff for the 95th percentile of consumption (1 egg/d). For the association between egg consumption and all-cause mortality, cohort- and sex-stratified standard proportional hazard models were used to satisfy proportional hazards assumption. The distribution of egg consumption was winsorized at the 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles. For quadratic egg consumption term for incident CVD, P value = .34 and for quadratic egg consumption term for all-cause mortality, P value = .48. The hazard ratio (HR [95% CI]) is indicated by the blue line and blue shading.

0.99 [95% CI, 0.88-1.12]) and non-CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.04 [95% CI, 0.97-1.11]), as well as adjusting for animal protein consumption for CVD mortality (adjusted HR, 1.05 [95% CI, 0.99-1.12])

### Sensitivity Analyses

Excluding events within the first 2 and 5 years or censoring participants at 10- and 20-year follow-up did not materially alter the significant associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality (Figure 2; Figure 4). Compared with the included participants (N = 29615), participants who were excluded (n = 4882) were older (54.4 vs 51.6 years), more likely to be black (42.5% vs 31.1%), and less likely to have an education of some college or more (43.6% vs 54.7%) (eTable 7 in the Supplement). The adjusted HRs (95% CIs) were similar between complete case analyses and multiple imputation-based analyses (eTable 8 in the Supplement). Dropping any 1 of the 6 cohorts did not considerably alter the significant associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality (eTable 9 in the Supplement). These significant associations were similar when the exposure variables were divided into quintiles or by other convenient cutoffs (eTables 10-13 in the Supplement). The adjusted HRs

(95% CIs) obtained from subdistribution hazard models were similar to those obtained from cause-specific hazard models (eTable 14 in the Supplement).

## Discussion

Among 29 615 adults pooled from 6 prospective cohort studies in the United States with a median follow-up of 17.5 years, higher consumption of dietary cholesterol or eggs was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD and all-cause mortality, in a dose-response manner.

A recent meta-analysis did not report a conclusive association between dietary cholesterol consumption and CVD, primarily due to the heterogeneity of the available studies and sparse data.<sup>1</sup> As a major source of dietary cholesterol, egg consumption has been differentially associated with CHD, stroke, heart failure, and mortality. Recent meta-analyses showed that frequent egg consumption (generally  $\geq$ 1 per day), as compared with infrequent consumption (generally <1 per week or never), was associated with significantly higher risk of heart failure,<sup>10</sup> significantly lower risk of stroke,<sup>6,8</sup> or no significant association with stroke or stroke mortality,<sup>5,7</sup> and higher risk of all-cause mortality (pooled HR

# Figure 4. Associations Between Each Additional Half an Egg Consumed per Day and Incident CVD and All-Cause Mortality

#### **A** Additional half egg consumed per day and incident CVD

	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk
Model 1 <sup>c</sup>	1.68 (0.94 to 2.42)	1.09 (1.06-1.13)		<b>—</b>
Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	1.11 (0.32 to 1.89)	1.06 (1.03-1.10)		<b>i</b>
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	1.02 (0.25 to 1.78)	1.06 (1.02-1.09)		<b>_</b>
Model 2 plus nutrients <sup>c</sup>				
Dietary cholesterol	-0.47 (-1.83 to 0.88)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)		
Saturated fat, unsaturated fat, trans fat	1.11 (0.17 to 2.04)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)		<b>.</b>
Animal protein	0.85 (0.03 to 1.67)	1.05 (1.01-1.09)		
Fiber	1.23 (0.43 to 2.03)	1.07 (1.03-1.10)		<b>_</b>
Sodium	0.98 (0.14 to 1.81)	1.05 (1.02-1.09)		<b>_</b>
All previous nutrients	1.01 (0.19 to 1.83)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)		
Model 2 plus dietary patterns <sup>c</sup>				
aHEI-2010 score <sup>d</sup>	1.09 (0.15 to 2.02)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)		<b>_</b>
DASH diet score <sup>d</sup>	1.20 (0.26 to 2.13)	1.06 (1.03-1.10)		
aMED diet score <sup>d</sup>	1.10 (0.20 to 2.00)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)		
Total red meat <sup>e</sup>	1.00 (0.20 to 1.80)	1.05 (1.02-1.09)		
11 Food groups <sup>f</sup>	1.34 (0.46 to 2.22)	1.07 (1.03-1.11)		
13 Food groups <sup>g</sup>	1.24 (0.47 to 2.01)	1.07 (1.03-1.10)		<b></b>
Model 2 plus time restrictions <sup>c</sup>				
Excluding events within first 2 y	0.93 (0.10 to 1.77)	1.05 (1.02-1.09)		<b>_</b>
Excluding events within first 5 y	0.99 (0.14 to 1.83)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)		<b>+</b>
Censoring at 10-y follow-up	0.34 (0.10 to 0.58)	1.08 (1.03-1.13)		
Censoring at 20-y follow-up	0.77 (0.26 to 1.27)	1.06 (1.03-1.10)		

Hazard Ratio (95% CI)

**B** Additional half egg consumed per day and all-cause mortality

	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk		
Model 1 <sup>c</sup>	3.13 (2.17 to 4.09)	1.12 (1.09-1.16)			<b></b>	
Model 2 <sup>c</sup>	1.93 (1.10 to 2.76)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			<b>.</b>	
Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	1.69 (0.79 to 2.60)	1.07 (1.03-1.10)			<u></u>	
Model 2 plus nutrients <sup>c</sup>						
Dietary cholesterol	0.71 (-0.85 to 2.28)	1.03 (0.97-1.09)		-	÷	
Saturated fat, unsaturated fat, trans fat	1.87 (0.82 to 2.92)	1.07 (1.04-1.11)			<u> </u>	
Animal protein	1.42 (0.54 to 2.30)	1.06 (1.03-1.10)			<u> </u>	
Fiber	1.98 (1.21 to 2.74)	1.08 (1.05-1.11)			<u> </u>	
Sodium	1.80 (0.88 to 2.72)	1.07 (1.04-1.11)			<u> </u>	
All previous nutrients	1.50 (0.58 to 2.43)	1.07 (1.03-1.10)			<u> </u>	
Model 2 plus dietary patterns <sup>c</sup>						
aHEI-2010 score <sup>d</sup>	1.89 (1.01 to 2.78)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)		—	<u> </u>	
DASH diet score <sup>d</sup>	2.02 (1.08 to 2.96)	1.08 (1.05-1.11)			<b>—</b>	
aMED diet score <sup>d</sup>	1.92 (1.11 to 2.73)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			<u> </u>	
Total red meat <sup>e</sup>	1.67 (0.76 to 2.58)	1.07 (1.03-1.10)			<u> </u>	
11 Food groups <sup>f</sup>	2.04 (1.05 to 3.03)	1.08 (1.05-1.12)			<u> </u>	
13 Food groups <sup>g</sup>	1.84 (0.98 to 2.70)	1.07 (1.04-1.11)		—	• <u> </u>	
Model 2 plus time restrictions <sup>c</sup>						
Excluding events within first 2 y	1.92 (0.92 to 2.92)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			•—	
Excluding events within first 5 y	1.65 (0.62 to 2.69)	1.07 (1.04-1.11)			<b>-</b>	
Censoring at 10-y follow-up	0.32 (0.18 to 0.47)	1.09 (1.04-1.14)				
Censoring at 20-y follow-up	1.05 (0.61 to 1.50)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			<u> </u>	
		0.9	1	.0	1.1	1.2
			Hazaı	rd Ratio (9	5% CI)	

See the Figure 1 footnote for conditions included in the incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) definition. There were 5400 incident CVD events and 6132 all-cause deaths (N=29 615 participants). Mean egg consumption in the United States was approximately half an egg per day.<sup>28</sup> For results interpretation, use model 2 as a reference standard (indicated by dotted line).

<sup>a</sup> Absolute risk difference was estimated using 3 R packages: riskRegression, <sup>33</sup> survival, <sup>34</sup> and pec, <sup>35</sup> and 95% Cls were derived from 100 bootstrap samples. The maximum follow-up time of 31.3 years, mean of the included covariates, and a difference of 0.5 per day in egg consumption were used.

1.2

- <sup>b</sup> Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models were used for incident CVD. Cohort-stratified standard proportional hazard models were used for all-cause mortality that were further stratified by sex to satisfy proportional hazards assumption.
- <sup>c</sup> Models: see Statistical Analysis section for descriptions of models 1, 2, and 3.
- <sup>d</sup> Meat and fish were removed from calculating these dietary scores.
- <sup>e</sup> Included unprocessed red meat and processed meat (adjusted separately).
- <sup>f</sup> Fruits, legumes, potatoes, other vegetables, nuts and seeds, whole grains, refined grains, low-fat dairy products, sugar sweetened beverages, poultry, and fish and seafood.
- <sup>g</sup> Footnote "f" (previous) plus unprocessed red meat, and processed meat.

aHEI-2010 indicates alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010; aMED, alternate Mediterranean; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension.

1.09, 95% CI [0.997-1.20]).<sup>8</sup> No significant association with CHD was reported.<sup>5-8</sup> Another meta-analysis, which analyzed all CVD subtypes together, reported a significant positive dose-response association between egg consumption and CVD.<sup>9</sup> As highlighted by these meta-analyses,<sup>1,5-10</sup> residual confounding was a potential reason for inconsistent results. For example, egg consumption was commonly correlated with unhealthy behaviors such as low physical activity, cur-

rent smoking, and unhealthy dietary patterns.<sup>38</sup> Also, cholesterol-containing foods are usually rich in saturated fat and animal protein.<sup>2</sup> Failure to consider these factors and others could lead to differential conclusions. In contrast, the current study included comprehensive assessment of these factors. Also, the current study had longer follow-up than the majority of the previous studies and may have provided more power to detect associations.<sup>1,5-10</sup>

Subgroup	No. of Participants With Event/Total No.	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk	P Value for Interaction
Age, y <sup>c</sup>						
<65	4516/25838	2.86 (0.94 to 4.79)	1.18 (1.09-1.27)			
≥65	844/3777	3.66 (0.04 to 7.28)	1.18 (1.03-1.34)		<b>_</b>	.99
Sex						
Men	2960/13299	2.70 (0.08 to 5.33)	1.14 (1.05-1.23)			051
Women	2440/16316	5.12 (2.21 to 8.02)	1.26 (1.14-1.40)		<b></b>	.051
Race/ethnicity <sup>d</sup>						
Black	1597/9204	4.04 (0.85 to 7.24)	1.11 (1.01-1.22)		<b></b>	00
Other	3803/20411	3.51 (0.95 to 6.07)	1.22 (1.12-1.32)			.08
Smoking status						
Never	2139/15443	4.98 (2.20 to 7.77)	1.25 (1.13-1.38)		<b>——</b>	
Current	1675/6530	2.47 (-1.19 to 6.13)	1.14 (1.03-1.25)			.28
Former	1586/7642	3.12 (-0.12 to 6.37)	1.17 (1.05-1.30)		<b></b>	
Body mass index <sup>e</sup>						
<25	1334/10481	3.19 (0.83 to 5.55)	1.25 (1.12-1.39)		— <b>—</b> —	
≥25 to <30	2140/10957	1.75 (-1.34 to 4.83)	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	-		.03
≥30	1926/8177	2.48 (-1.71 to 6.67)	1.14 (1.03-1.26)			
Diabetes <sup>f</sup>						
No	4271/26888	1.87 (-0.13 to 3.87)	1.08 (1.00-1.17)			15
Yes	1129/2727	1.21 (-5.12 to 7.55)	1.18 (1.05-1.34)		— <b>—</b>	.15
Hypertension <sup>g</sup>						
No	1615/15101	1.10 (-0.88 to 3.08)	1.17 (1.06-1.30)		— <b>—</b> —	0.2
Yes	3785/14514	4.99 (2.50 to 7.48)	1.19 (1.10-1.29)			.83
Hyperlipidemia <sup>h</sup>						
No	3761/23088	3.52 (1.57 to 5.47)	1.18 (1.09-1.28)			00
Yes	1639/6527	3.56 (-1.42 to 8.54)	1.18 (1.06-1.32)		— <b>—</b> —	.99
Low lipids <sup>i</sup>						
No	5150/26901	4.23 (2.07 to 6.38)	1.19 (1.11-1.28)			0.4
Yes	250/2714	-1.09 (-3.40 to 1.22)	0.96 (0.78-1.19)	<b>_</b> _		.04
Eating a higher-quality diet <sup>j</sup>						
No	4427/22212	2.95 (0.93 to 4.97)	1.15 (1.06-1.24)		<b>——</b> —	12
Yes	973/7403	5.25 (1.08 to 9.42)	1.26 (1.12-1.41)		<b>——</b>	.15
Eating a high-saturated fat diet <sup>k</sup>						
No	4064/22308	3.56 (1.27 to 5.85)	1.17 (1.08-1.28)		— <b>—</b> —	0.4
Yes	1336/7307	2.88 (0.15 to 5.62)	1.16 (1.05-1.29)		<b>——</b>	.04
Eating a low-saturated fat diet <sup>l</sup>						
No	5056/27507	3.57 (1.81 to 5.33)	1.18 (1.09-1.27)			14
Yes	344/2108	6.75 (-4.70 to 18.20)	1.47 (1.09-1.99)		<b>_</b>	.14
				0.7 1 Haz	.0 1.2 1.4 ard Ratio (95% CI)	2.0

Figure 5. Association Between Each Additional 300 mg of Dietary Cholesterol Consumed Per Day and Incident CVD Among Different Subgroups

See the Figure 1 footnote for conditions included in the incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) definition. Adjustment covariates included age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school, ≥some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, 10-19.9, 20-29.9, 30-39.9, ≥40), cohort-specific physical activity z score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n), where relevant. Mean dietary cholesterol consumption in the United States was 293 mg/d, based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2013-2014 data.<sup>27</sup> The results can be interpreted using the following sex-specific estimates as an example: in men, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher relative risk (RR) of incident CVD (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.14 [95% CI, 1.05-1.23]) and a higher absolute risk of incident CVD (adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 2.70% [95% CI, 0.08%-5.33%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. In women, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher RR of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.26 [95% CI, 1.14-1.40]) and a higher absolute risk of incident CVD (adjusted ARD, 5.12% [95% CI, 2.21%-8.02%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. The difference between men and women was borderline significant (P value for interaction = .051).

<sup>a</sup> Absolute risk difference was estimated using 3 R packages: riskRegression, <sup>33</sup> survival, <sup>34</sup> and pec, <sup>35</sup> and 95% CIs were derived from 100 bootstrap samples. A follow-up time of 30 years (not all subgroups had the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years), mean of the included covariates, and a difference of 300 mg per day in dietary cholesterol consumption were used.

<sup>b</sup> Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models were used for incident CVD.

- $^{\rm c}$  The maximum follow-up time for the older group was 22.8 years. All other subgroups used 30 years as specified in footnote "a."
- <sup>d</sup> Hispanic and Chinese participants were combined with white participants (all categorized as *other*) due to small sample size.
- <sup>e</sup> Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.
- $^{f}$  Fasting glucose ( ${\geq}126$  mg/dL) or HbA  $_{1c}$  ( ${\geq}6.5\%$ ) or taking glucose-lowering medications.
- $^{g}$  Blood pressure ( $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg) or taking antihypertensive medications.
- <sup>h</sup> Total cholesterol (≥240 mg/dL) or taking lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>i</sup> Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<70 mg/dL) or non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (<100 mg/dL) among those who did not take lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>j</sup> Alternate Healthy Eating Index (aHEI) 2010 score in the highest quartile (≥51.5). The original version of the aHEI-2010 score has a range of 0 to 110 points, but the score in this study had a range of 0 to 100 points due to the removal of the meat item.
- $^k$  Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat in the highest quartile ( ${\geq}13.8\%).$
- <sup>1</sup> Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat (<7%).

The current study found that the significant associations of dietary cholesterol consumption with incident CVD and allcause mortality were independent of fat amount and quality of the diet. These findings are consistent with the evidence that a reduction of dietary cholesterol intake, in addition to isocaloric replacement of saturated fat by unsaturated fat, was

Subgroup	No. of Participants With Event/Total No.	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk	P Value fo Interactio
Age, v <sup>c</sup>			(1.1.1.1)			
<65	4840/25838	4.34 (2.41 to 6.27)	1.19 (1.11-1.28)		<b>_</b>	
≥65	1292/3777	4.10 (-1.04 to 9.25)	1.17 (1.04-1.30)			.72
Sex					-	
Men	3376/13299	3.28 (0.80 to 5.77)	1.14 (1.06-1.22)			
Women	2756/16316	7.51 (4.20 to 10.83)	1.28 (1.17-1.41)			.02
Race/ethnicity <sup>d</sup>						
Black	1832/9204	4.92 (2.02 to 7.81)	1.17 (1.08-1.27)		<b>_</b>	
Other	4300/20411	4.42 (1.62 to 7.22)	1.18 (1.09-1.27)			.90
Smoking status					—	
Never	2330/15443	4.50 (1.53 to 7.46)	1.24 (1.12-1.36)			
Current	2067/6530	4.49 (0.32 to 8.66)	1.15 (1.05-1.25)			.41
Former	1735/7642	4.36 (1.26 to 7.47)	1.18 (1.07-1.31)			
Body mass index <sup>e</sup>	,				_	
<25	1915/10481	3.78 (0.81 to 6.76)	1.24 (1.13-1.35)			
≥25 to <30	2352/10957	4.19 (0.88 to 7.50)	1.11 (1.01-1.21)			.13
>30	1865/8177	6 73 (2 87 to 10 60)	1 15 (1 04-1 27)			
Diabetes <sup>f</sup>	1000/01//	0.10 (2.07 to 10.00)	1110 (110 + 1127)		_	
No	4987/26888	3.87 (1.65 to 6.08)	1.12 (1.04-1.20)		<b>_</b>	
Yes	1145/2727	1.51 (-2.71 to 5.72)	1.17 (1.04-1.32)			.42
Hypertension <sup>g</sup>					-	
No	2083/15101	3.05 (0.57 to 5.52)	1.20 (1.10-1.31)			
Yes	4049/14514	6.90 (3.60 to 10.20)	1.18 (1.09-1.27)			.72
Hyperlipidemia <sup>h</sup>					—	
No	4418/23088	4.89 (2.70 to 7.09)	1.19 (1.11-1.28)			
Yes	1714/6527	5.59 (1.32 to 9.86)	1.15 (1.03-1.28)			.52
Low lipids <sup>i</sup>	,				_	
No	5706/26901	6.23 (3.66 to 8.81)	1.19 (1.11-1.27)			
Yes	426/2714	-0.97 (-4.49 to 2.55)	1.05 (0.90-1.22)			.11
Eating a higher-guality diet <sup>j</sup>	,				_	
No	4991/22212	6.21 (3.63 to 8.78)	1.19 (1.11-1.27)			
Yes	1141/7403	1.47 (-2.63 to 5.57)	1.13 (1.01-1.27)			.44
Eating a high-saturated fat dietk					_	
No.	4602/22308	1 47 (-1 56 to 4 50)	1 12 (1 04-1 21)			
Yes	1530/7307	5.93 (2.86 to 9.00)	1.24 (1.13-1.36)			.046
Eating a low-saturated fat diet	,	(			—	
No	5680/27507	5.66 (3.50 to 7.82)	1.20 (1.12-1.28)			
Yes	452/2108	4.36 (-5.7 to 14.41)	1.17 (0.87-1 58)			.89
			(0.07 1.00)		-	
				0.8 1	.0 1.2	1.6

Figure 6. Association Between Each Additional 300 mg of Dietary Cholesterol Consumed per Day and All-Cause Mortality Among Different Subgroups

Adjustment covariates included age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school, ≥some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, 10-19.9, 20-29.9, 30-39.9,  $\geq$ 40), cohort-specific physical activity *z* score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n), where relevant. Mean dietary cholesterol consumption in the United States was 293 mg/d, based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2013-2014 data.<sup>27</sup> The results can be interpreted using the following sex-specific estimates as an example: in men, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher relative risk (RR) of all-cause mortality (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.14 [95% CI, 1.06-1.22]) and a higher absolute risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 3.28% [95% CI, 0.80%-5.77%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. In women, each additional 300 mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher RR of all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.28 [95% CI, 1.17-1.41]) and a higher absolute risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted ARD, 7.51% [95% CI, 4.20%-10.83%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. The association was stronger in women than in men (P value for interaction = .02).

<sup>a</sup> Absolute risk difference was estimated using 3 R packages: riskRegression, <sup>33</sup> survival, <sup>34</sup> and pec, <sup>35</sup> and 95% CIs were derived from 100 bootstrap samples. A follow-up time of 30 years (not all subgroups had the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years), mean of the included covariates, and a difference of 300 mg per day in dietary cholesterol consumption were used.

- <sup>b</sup> Cohort-stratified standard proportional hazard models were used for all-cause mortality.
- <sup>c</sup> The maximum follow-up time for the older group was 22.8 years. All other subgroups used 30 years as specified in footnote "a."
- <sup>d</sup> Hispanic and Chinese participants were combined with white participants (all categorized as *other*) due to small sample size.
- <sup>e</sup> Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.
- $^{\rm f}$  Fasting glucose ( $\geq\!\!126$  mg/dL) or HbA\_{1c} ( $\geq\!\!6.5\%$ ) or taking glucose-lowering medications.
- <sup>g</sup> Blood pressure ( $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg) or taking antihypertensive medications.
- <sup>h</sup> Total cholesterol (≥240 mg/dL) or taking lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>i</sup> Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<70 mg/dL) or non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (<100 mg/dL) among those who did not take lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>j</sup> Alternate Healthy Eating Index (aHEI) 2010 score in the highest quartile (≥51.5). The original version of the aHEI-2010 score has a range of 0 to 110 points, but the score in this study had a range of 0 to 100 points due to the removal of the meat item.
- $^{\rm k}$  Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat in the highest quartile ( $\geq$ 13.8%).  $^{\rm l}$  Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat (<7%).

significantly associated with reduced total cholesterol (primarily LDL cholesterol) concentration.<sup>39</sup> Further, the significant association between egg consumption and incident CVD was fully accounted for by the cholesterol content in eggs, which also largely explained the significant association between egg consumption and all-cause mortality, offering

	No. of Participants	Absolute Risk	Hazard Ratio	Lower	Higher	P Value for
Subgroup	With Event/Total No.	Difference, % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	(95% CI) <sup>®</sup>	Risk	Risk	Interaction
Age, y <sup>c</sup>						
<65	4516/25838	0.94 (0.17 to 1.72)	1.07 (1.03-1.11)			83
≥65	844/3777	1.76 (-1.45 to 4.97)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)			.05
Sex						
Men	2960/13299	1.02 (-0.34 to 2.38)	1.03 (0.99-1.08)			009
Women	2440/16316	1.86 (0.62 to 3.10)	1.13 (1.07-1.20)			.009
Race/ethnicity <sup>d</sup>						
Black	1597/9204	1.44 (0.20 to 2.67)	1.05 (0.99-1.10)			47
Other	3803/20411	1.22 (0.02 to 2.43)	1.07 (1.02-1.12)			.47
Smoking status						
Never	2139/15443	2.02 (0.69 to 3.35)	1.12 (1.06-1.18)			
Current	1675/6530	0.61 (-0.96 to 2.17)	1.03 (0.98-1.09)	-		.10
Former	1586/7642	0.90 (-0.62 to 2.42)	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	-	-	
Body mass index <sup>e</sup>						
<25	1334/10481	1.15 (0.10 to 2.21)	1.10 (1.04-1.17)			
≥25 to <30	2140/10957	0.80 (-0.76 to 2.37)	1.02 (0.97-1.08)	_		.17
≥30	1926/8177	0.83 (-0.96 to 2.62)	1.06 (1.00-1.12)			
Diabetes <sup>f</sup>						
No	4271/26888	0.45 (-0.43 to 1.34)	1.02 (0.98-1.06)	-		
Yes	1129/2727	1.48 (-0.82 to 3.77)	1.09 (1.03-1.17)			.07
Hypertension <sup>g</sup>						
No	1615/15101	0.50 (-0.51 to 1.51)	1.06 (1.00-1.12)			
Ves	3785/14514	1 71 (0 48 to 2 95)	1 07 (1 03-1 11)			.76
Hyperlipidemia <sup>h</sup>	5705711511	1	1.07 (1.00 1.111)			
No	3761/23088	1 39 (0 49 to 2 18)	1 07 (1 03-1 11)			
Ves	1639/6527	0.84 (-1.56 to 3.24)	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	-		.63
Low linids <sup>i</sup>	1055/0527	0.01(1.50105.21)	1.05 (0.55 1.12)		-	
No	5150/26901	1 49 (0 52 to 2 46)	1 07 (1 04-1 11)			
Ves	250/2714	-0.52 (-1.71 to 0.62)	0.93 (0.81-1.07)			.04
Fating a higher-guality diet	200,2711	0.02 ( 1.) 1 to 0.02)	0.000 (0.001 1.077)	_		
No	4427/22212	1.06 (0.14 to 1.97)	1 05 (1 02-1 09)			
Ves	973/7403	2 11 (-0.08  to  4.29)	1 11 (1 02-1 20)			.25
Esting a high-saturated fat dietk	57577105	2.11( 0.00 to 1.25)	1.11 (1.02 1.20)		-	
No	4064/22308	1.10(0.004  to  2.20)	1.05 (1.01-1.09)			
Vec	1336/7307	1.07(-0.30 to 2.20)	1.05 (1.01 1.03)			.49
Fating a low-saturated fat diet	1556/7567	1.67 ( 0.50 to 2.15)	1.07 (1.02 1.13)		-	
No	5056/27507	1.22(0.45  to  1.99)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)			
Voc	344/2108	1 15 (-4 56 to 6 86)	1 16 (0 95-1 41)		-	.39
103	J-4/2100	1.13 (-4.30 10 0.00)	1.10 (0.55-1.41)			-
				r	i	
				0.8 1	.0 1.2	1.4
				Hazaro	d Ratio (95% CI)	

Figure 7. Association Between Each Additional Half an Egg Consumed per Day and Incident CVD Among Different Subgroups

See the Figure 1 footnote for conditions included in the incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) definition. Adjustment covariates included age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school, ≥some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, 10-19.9, 20-29.9, 30-39.9, ≥40), cohort-specific physical activity z score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n), where relevant. Mean egg consumption in the United States was approximately half an egg per day, based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011-2012 data.<sup>28</sup> The results can be interpreted using the following sex-specific estimates as an example: in men, each additional half an egg consumed per day was not significantly associated with a higher relative risk (RR) of incident CVD (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.03 [95% CI. 0.99-1.081) and a higher absolute risk of incident CVD (adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 1.02% [95% CI, -0.34% to 2.38%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. In women, each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher RR of incident CVD (adjusted HR, 1.13 [95% CI, 1.07-1.20]) and a higher absolute risk of incident CVD (adjusted ARD, 1.86% [95% CI, 0.62%-3.10%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. The association was stronger in women than in men (P value for interaction = .009).

<sup>a</sup> Absolute risk difference was estimated using 3 R packages: riskRegression, <sup>33</sup> survival, <sup>34</sup> and pec, <sup>35</sup> and 95% CIs were derived from 100 bootstrap samples. A follow-up time of 30 years (not all subgroups had the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years), mean of the included covariates, and a difference of half an egg per day consumed were used.

<sup>b</sup> Cohort-stratified cause-specific hazard models were used for incident CVD.

- <sup>c</sup> The maximum follow-up time for the older group was 22.8 years. All other subgroups used 30 years as specified in footnote "a."
- <sup>d</sup> Hispanic and Chinese participants were combined with white participants (all categorized as *other*) due to small sample size.
- <sup>e</sup> Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.
- $^{\rm f}$  Fasting glucose ( $\geq\!\!126$  mg/dL) or HbA\_{1c} ( $\geq\!\!6.5\%$ ) or taking glucose-lowering medications.
- $^{g}$  Blood pressure ( $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg) or taking antihypertensive medications.
- <sup>h</sup> Total cholesterol (≥240 mg/dL) or taking lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>i</sup> Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<70 mg/dL) or non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (<100 mg/dL) among those who did not take lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>j</sup> Alternate Healthy Eating Index (aHEI) 2010 score in the highest quartile (≥51.5). The original version of the aHEI-2010 score has a range of 0 to 110 points, but the score in this study had a range of 0 to 100 points due to the removal of the meat item.
- <sup>k</sup> Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat in the highest quartile ( $\geq$ 13.8%).
- <sup>1</sup> Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat (<7%).

additional support for the significant associations of dietary cholesterol consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality. Although the significant associations of dietary cholesterol consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality were no longer significant after accounting for egg, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat consumption,

Subgroup	No. of Participants With Event/Total No.	Absolute Risk Difference, % (95% CI)ª	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Lower Risk	Higher Risk	P Value for Interaction
Age, y <sup>c</sup>						
<65	4840/25838	1.74 (0.95 to 2.53)	1.09 (1.06-1.13)			22
≥65	1292/3777	1.58 (-1.55 to 4.70)	1.04 (0.96-1.14)			.32
Sex						
Men	3376/13299	1.35 (0.28 to 2.42)	1.04 (1.01-1.08)			001
Women	2756/16316	3.61 (2.12 to 5.10)	1.16 (1.10-1.23)			.001
Race/ethnicity <sup>d</sup>						
Black	1832/9204	2.06 (1.13 to 3.28)	1.09 (1.04-1.14)			F 1
Other	4300/20411	1.81 (0.59 to 3.02)	1.07 (1.02-1.11)			.51
Smoking status						
Never	2330/15443	2.42 (1.03 to 3.81)	1.12 (1.06-1.18)			
Current	2067/6530	1.75 (0.05 to 3.46)	1.05 (1.01-1.10)			.21
Former	1735/7642	1.82 (0.09 to 3.55)	1.08 (1.02-1.15)			
Body mass index <sup>e</sup>						
<25	1915/10481	1.19 (-0.20 to 2.58)	1.08 (1.03-1.13)			
≥25 to <30	2352/10957	1.96 (0.31 to 3.60)	1.05 (1.00-1.10)			.33
≥30	1865/8177	4.33 (2.17 to 6.49)	1.11 (1.05-1.17)			
Diabetes <sup>f</sup>						
No	4987/26888	1.90 (0.92 to 2.88)	1.06 (1.02-1.10)			00
Yes	1145/2727	0.94 (-1.24 to 3.12)	1.06 (1.00-1.13)			.96
Hypertension <sup>g</sup>						
No	2083/15101	1.75 (0.70 to 2.80)	1.09 (1.04-1.15)			40
Yes	4049/14514	2.80 (1.27 to 4.34)	1.07 (1.03-1.11)			.49
Hyperlipidemia <sup>h</sup>						
No	4418/23088	2.21 (1.11 to 3.30)	1.08 (1.04-1.12)			20
Yes	1714/6527	2.79 (0.63 to 4.96)	1.07 (1.01-1.14)			.09
Low lipids <sup>i</sup>						
No	5706/26901	2.91 (1.89 to 3.94)	1.09 (1.05-1.12)			007
Yes	426/2714	-0.96 (-2.79 to 0.86)	0.95 (0.86-1.05)		<u> </u>	.007
Eating a higher-quality diet <sup>j</sup>						
No	4991/22212	2.47 (1.41 to 3.54)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			60
Yes	1141/7403	1.68 (-0.91 to 4.27)	1.06 (0.97-1.15)	_		.69
Eating a high-saturated fat diet <sup>k</sup>						
No	4602/22308	1.68 (0.45 to 2.91)	1.05 (1.01-1.09)			0.9
Yes	1530/7307	2.45 (1.16 to 3.75)	1.11 (1.06-1.16)			.08
Eating a low-saturated fat diet <sup>l</sup>						
No	5680/27507	2.35 (1.34 to 3.37)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)			4.4
Yes	452/2108	4.54 (-1.56 to 10.65)	1.16 (0.97-1.39)			.44
				0.8 1 Hazard	0 1.2 I Ratio (95% CI)	1.4

#### Figure 8. Association Between Each Additional Half an Egg Consumed per Day and All-Cause Mortality Among Different Subgroups

Adjustment covariates included age, sex, race/ethnicity (white, black, Hispanic, Chinese), education (<high school, high school,  $\geq$ some college), total energy, smoking status (current, former, never), smoking pack-years (0, 0.1-4.9, 5.0-9.9, 10-19.9, 20-29.9, 30-39.9,  $\geq$ 40), cohort-specific physical activity *z* score, alcohol consumption (gram), and use of hormone therapy (y/n), where relevant. Mean egg consumption in the United States was approximately half an egg per day, based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011-2012 data.<sup>28</sup> The results can be interpreted using the following sex-specific estimates as an example: in men, each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher relative risk (RR) of all-cause mortality (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 1.04 [95% CI, 1.01-1.08]) and a higher absolute risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted absolute risk difference [ARD], 1.35% [95% CI, 0.28%-2.42%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. In women, each additional half an egg consumed per day was significantly associated with a higher RR of all-cause mortality (adjusted HR, 1.16 [95% CI, 1.10-1.23]) and a higher absolute risk of all-cause mortality (adjusted ARD, 3.61% [95% CI, 2.12%-5.10%]) over a follow-up of 30 years. The association was stronger in women than in men (P value for interaction = .001).

<sup>a</sup> Absolute risk difference was estimated using 3 R packages: riskRegression, <sup>33</sup> survival, <sup>34</sup> and pec, <sup>35</sup> and 95% CIs were derived from 100 bootstrap samples. A follow-up time of 30 years (not all subgroups had the maximum follow-up of 31.3 years), mean of the included covariates, and a difference of half an egg per day consumed were used.

<sup>b</sup> Cohort- and sex-stratified standard proportional hazard models were used for all-cause mortality.

- <sup>c</sup> The maximum follow-up time for the older group was 22.8 years. All other subgroups used 30 years as specified in footnote "a."
- <sup>d</sup> Hispanic and Chinese participants were combined with white participants (all categorized as *other*) due to small sample size.
- <sup>e</sup> Body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.
- $^{\rm f}$  Fasting glucose ( $\geq\!\!126$  mg/dL) or HbA $_{\rm lc}$  ( $\geq\!\!6.5\%$ ) or taking glucose-lowering medications.
- <sup>g</sup> Blood pressure ( $\geq$ 140/90 mm Hg) or taking antihypertensive medications.
- <sup>h</sup> Total cholesterol (≥240 mg/dL) or taking lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>i</sup> Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<70 mg/dL) or non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (<100 mg/dL) among those who did not take lipid-lowering medications.
- <sup>j</sup> Alternate Healthy Eating Index (aHEI) 2010 score in the highest quartile (≥51.5). The original version of the aHEI-2010 score has a range of 0 to 110 points, but the score in this study had a range of 0 to 100 points due to the removal of the meat item.
- <sup>k</sup> Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat in the highest quartile ( $\geq$ 13.8%). <sup>1</sup> Percent of energy consumed from saturated fat (<7%).

it remains unclear if cholesterol from these foods is more harmful than other cholesterol-containing foods. Statistically, the remaining variation in dietary cholesterol consumption from other foods may not be sufficient to materially modify the associations. Egg consumption contributed 25% to the total dietary cholesterol consumption and meat consumption

contributed 42%.<sup>27</sup> Mechanistically, eggs and processed or unprocessed red meat are rich in other nutrients such as choline, iron, carnitine, and added sodium (for processed meat) that have been implicated in CVD risk via different pathways. Further, the significant associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and all-cause mortality were similar between participants who consumed a higherquality diet and those who did not, suggesting that people may need to minimize dietary cholesterol and egg yolk intake when consuming a healthier eating pattern, as recommended by the Institute of Medicine.<sup>40</sup>

The magnitudes of the significant associations of dietary cholesterol or egg consumption with incident CVD and allcause mortality were modest but consistent across event subtypes including stroke, heart failure (except for egg consumption: adjusted HR 1.06, 95% CI [0.996-1.12]), CVD mortality, and non-CVD mortality, as well as for subgroups according to age, race/ethnicity, smoking status, CVD risk factors (ie, diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia), and diet quality. The nonsignificant association for CHD was consistent with the literature.5-8 US mean dietary cholesterol consumption of approximately 290 mg per day is higher than the global average of 228 mg per day,<sup>41</sup> and was relatively stable from 2001-2002 to 2013-2014.<sup>27</sup> Egg consumption has been relatively low in the United States, but overall per capita egg consumption increased by 11% from 23.0 g per day in 2001-2002 to 25.5 g per day in 2011-2012.<sup>28</sup> The recommendations for dietary cholesterol and egg consumption from the 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines, if carried forward in subsequent versions of Dietary Guidelines, might lead to further increases in egg and dietary cholesterol consumption in the United States, which could be harmful for prevention of CVD and premature death. Findings of the current study suggest that cholesterol from egg yolk may be harmful in the context of the current US diet, in which overnutrition and overweight/obesity are

more common than malnutrition and underweight. This is consistent with the evidence that frequent egg consumption has been significantly associated with diabetes risk only in US studies.<sup>42</sup>

#### Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, appropriate interpretation of the study findings requires consideration of measurement error for self-reported diet data. Further, this study relied on single measurement of egg and dietary cholesterol consumption. Exposure misclassification may be of concern, but results were similar when censoring participants at different time points. Second, all cohorts used different dietary assessment tools except 2 Framingham cohorts, which created heterogeneities for data analyses. This was addressed by the following: (1) implementing a rigorous methodology to harmonize diet data; (2) performing cohort-stratified analyses; and (3) conducting several sensitivity analyses. Third, residual confounding was likely, although a number of covariates were adjusted. Fourth, data were not available for investigating subtypes of CHD, stroke, and heart failure, as well as more detailed cause-specific mortality such as cancer mortality. Fifth, generalizing our results to non-US populations requires caution due to different nutrition and food environments and chronic disease epidemiology. Sixth, the study findings are observational and cannot establish causality.

## Conclusions

Among US adults, higher consumption of dietary cholesterol or eggs was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD and all-cause mortality in a dose-response manner. These results should be considered in the development of dietary guidelines and updates.

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: February 7, 2019.

Author Contributions: Drs Zhong and Allen had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: Zhong, Van Horn, Lloyd-Jones, Allen. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Zhong, Van Horn, Cornelis, Wilkins, Ning, Carnethon, Greenland, Mentz, Tucker, Zhao, Norwood, Lloyd-Jones, Allen. Drafting of the manuscript: Zhong, Van Horn. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Zhong, Van Horn, Cornelis, Wilkins, Ning, Carnethon, Greenland, Mentz, Tucker, Zhao, Norwood, Lloyd-Jones, Allen. Statistical analysis: Zhong, Zhao, Ning. Obtained funding: Greenland, Lloyd-Jones. Administrative, technical, or material support: Van Horn, Wilkins, Greenland, Norwood, Lloyd-Jones. Supervision: Van Horn, Wilkins, Carnethon, Greenland, Mentz, Allen.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Wilkins reported receiving consulting fees from NGM Biopharmaceuticals (Modest). Dr Mentz reported receiving research support from Akros, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, GlaxoSmithKline, Gilead, Luitpold, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, Otsuka, and ResMed; honoraria from Abbott, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Janssen, Luitpold Pharmaceuticals, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, and ResMed; and serving on an advisory board for Amgen, AstraZeneca, Luitpold, Merck, Novartis and Boehringer Ingelheim. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship to Dr Zhong from the American Heart Association Strategically Focused Research Networks (14SFRN20480260; principal investigator, Greenland). The Lifetime Risk Pooling Project was supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R21 HL085375) and by the Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine. The Jackson Heart Study is supported and conducted in collaboration with Jackson State University (HHSN268201300049C and HHSN268201300050C), Tougaloo College (HHSN268201300048C), and the University of Mississippi Medical Center (HHSN268201300046C and HHSN268201300047C), contracts from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, and the National Institute for Minority Health and Health Disparities.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders of this study had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Disclaimer:** Dr Greenland, a *JAMA* senior editor, was not involved in the review of or decision to publish this article.

Meeting Presentation: Presented orally in the Robert Levy Memorial Lecture/Lifestyle Young Investigator Award Competition in the American Heart Association Scientific Sessions; November 10-12, 2018; Chicago, Illinois.

Additional Contributions: We thank the staffs and participants of the Jackson Heart Study.

#### **REFERENCES:**

1. Berger S, Raman G, Vishwanathan R, Jacques PF, Johnson EJ. Dietary cholesterol and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2015;102(2):276-294.

2. US Department of Health and Human Services and US Department of Agriculture. 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th Edition. December 2015. https://health.gov/ dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/. Accessed January 31, 2019.

3. Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee: Advisory Report to the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the Secretary of Agriculture. Washington, DC: US Dept of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service; 2015.

4. US Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Research Service, Nutrient Data Laboratory. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 28. Version Current: September 2015. https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/. Accessed January 31, 2019.

5. Shin JY, Xun P, Nakamura Y, He K. Egg consumption in relation to risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98(1):146-159.

**6**. Alexander DD, Miller PE, Vargas AJ, Weed DL, Cohen SS. Meta-analysis of egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2016;35(8):704-716.

 Rong Y, Chen L, Zhu T, et al. Egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke. *BMJ*. 2013:346:e8539.

8. Xu L, Lam TH, Jiang CQ, et al. Egg consumption and the risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study and meta-analyses [published online April 21, 2018]. *Eur J Nutr.* doi:10.1007/s00394-018-1692-3

**9**. Li Y, Zhou C, Zhou X, Li L. Egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;229(2):524-530.

**10**. Khawaja O, Singh H, Luni F, et al. Egg consumption and incidence of heart failure. *Front Nutr.* 2017;4:10.

**11.** Wilkins JT, Karmali KN, Huffman MD, et al. Data resource profile: the Cardiovascular Disease Lifetime Risk Pooling Project. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015; 44(5):1557-1564.

12. The ARIC Investigators. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study: design and objectives. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1989;129(4):687-702.

**13.** Friedman GD, Cutter GR, Donahue RP, et al. CARDIA: study design, recruitment, and some characteristics of the examined subjects. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1988;41(11):1105-1116.

14. Wong ND, Levy D. Legacy of the Framingham Heart Study: rationale, design, initial findings, and implications. *Glob Heart*. 2013;8(1):3-9.

**15**. Feinleib M, Kannel WB, Garrison RJ, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. The Framingham Offspring Study: design and preliminary data. *Prev Med*. 1975;4(4): 518-525.

**16**. Taylor HA Jr, Wilson JG, Jones DW, et al Toward resolution of cardiovascular health disparities in African Americans. *Ethn Dis.* 2005;15 (4)(suppl 6):4-17.

17. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, et al. Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;156(9):871-881.

**18**. Yano Y, Reis JP, Colangelo LA, et al. Association of blood pressure classification in young adults using the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association blood pressure guideline with cardiovascular events later in life. *JAMA*. 2018;320(17):1774-1782.

**19**. Tsao CW, Vasan RS. Cohort profile: the Framingham Heart Study (FHS): overview of milestones in cardiovascular epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44(6):1800-1813.

**20**. Keku E, Rosamond W, Taylor HA, Jr, et al Cardiovascular disease event classification in the Jackson Heart Study. *Ethn Dis*. 2005;15(4)(suppl 6): 62-70.

**21**. Berry JD, Dyer A, Cai X, et al. Lifetime risks of cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(4): 321-329.

**22.** Huffman MD, Berry JD, Ning H, et al. Lifetime risk for heart failure among white and black Americans. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61(14):1510-1517.

**23**. Wilkins JT, Ning H, Berry J, et al. Lifetime risk and years lived free of total cardiovascular disease. *JAMA*. 2012;308(17):1795-1801.

**24**. Lau B, Cole SR, Gange SJ. Competing risk regression models for epidemiologic data. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009;170(2):244-256.

**25.** Austin PC, Lee DS, Fine JP. Introduction to the analysis of survival data in the presence of competing risks. *Circulation*. 2016;133(6):601-609.

**26**. Lin DY, Wei LJ, Ying Z. Checking the cox model with cumulative sums of martingale-based residuals. *Biometrika*. 1993;80(3):557-572.

**27**. Xu Z, McClure ST, Appel LJ. Dietary cholesterol intake and sources among US adults. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(6):E771.

**28**. Conrad Z, Johnson LK, Roemmich JN, Juan W, Jahns L. Time trends and patterns of reported egg consumption in the US by sociodemographic characteristics. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(4):E333.

**29**. Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. *J Nutr*. 2012;142(6):1009-1018.

**30**. Fung TT, Rexrode KM, Mantzoros CS, et al. Mediterranean diet and incidence of and mortality

from coronary heart disease and stroke in women. *Circulation*. 2009;119(8):1093-1100.

**31**. Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, et al. Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(7):713-720.

**32**. SAS Institute. The PHREG procedure: type 3 tests and joint tests. http://support.sas.com/ documentation/cdl/en/statug/67523/HTML/ default/viewer.htm#statug\_phreg\_details32.htm. Accessed January 31, 2019.

**33.** R Package. "riskRegression" (Version 2019.01.29): risk regression models and prediction scores for survivalanalysis with competing risks. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ riskRegression/riskRegression.pdf. Accessed January 31, 2019.

**34.** R Package. "survival" (Version 2.43-3): Survival analysis. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ survival/survival.pdf. Accessed January 31, 2019.

**35.** R Package. "pec" (Version 2018.07.26): prediction error curves for risk prediction models in survival analysis. https://cran.r-project.org/web/ packages/pec/pec.pdf. Accessed January 31, 2019.

**36**. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations. *Stat Med*. 2011;30(4):377-399.

**37**. Wang DD, Leung CW, Li Y, et al. Trends in dietary quality among adults in the United States, 1999 through 2010. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174 (10):1587-1595.

**38**. Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, et al. A prospective study of egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women. *JAMA*. 1999;281(15):1387-1394.

**39**. Clarke R, Frost C, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Dietary lipids and blood cholesterol: quantitative meta-analysis of metabolic ward studies. *BMJ*. 1997;314(7074):112-117.

**40**. Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2002.

**41**. Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, et al. Global, regional, and national consumption levels of dietary fats and oils in 1990 and 2010. *BMJ*. 2014;348:g2272.

**42**. Djoussé L, Khawaja OA, Gaziano JM. Egg consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;103(2):474-480.